

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
08/756,257	11/25/1996	JOHN D. SCHELLENBERG	4204.7-1	2922
7590 08/16/2004		EXAMINER		
MARC A. HUBBARD			SMITH, RUTH S	
MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR 4000 FOUNTAIN PLACE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1445 ROSS AVENUE			3737	
DALLAS, TX 75202-2790			DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			SCHELLENBERG	2 IOHN D			
		08/756,257 Examiner	Art Unit	7, JOHN D.			
	•						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	Ruth S Smith	3737	droce			
Period fo		appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence at	101 633			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION INSIGHT OF THIS COMMUNICATION INSIGHT OF THIS COMMUNICATION INSIGHT OF THE MAILING	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) N tute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this c a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•	•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	1 June 2004.	i .				
2a)⊠		his action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ —	Claim(s) 1,7-13,15,22,26,29,31 and 42-47 is 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without Claim(s) 1,7-13,15,26,29 and 31 is/are allow Claim(s) 22 and 42-47 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration. ved.	ation.				
Applicat	ion Papers			· .			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
·)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attack	ned Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have be eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National	I Stage			
Attachmen							
_	ιτ(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗀 Intervie	ew Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	No(s)/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5) ☐ Notice 6) ☐ Other:	of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Art Unit: 3737

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22,42-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Manwaring et al in view of Wilk et al (WO 93/15648). Manwaring et al discloses an apparatus which includes a surgical tool and means for determining the actual position of the distal end of the tool relative to a desired position of the tool. Manwaring et al further provides a display for indicating such differences in position. The display includes a plurality of indicators. Reference numeral 46 represents the plurality of indicators which provide an indication to a human operator of a direction in which the tool should be moved to reach a desired position. With respect to claims 46, 47, it appears that the indicated direction that the tool must be moved is relative to a reference frame of the tool. Wilk et al disclose a surgical tool having a display on the tool. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have modified Manwaring et al such that the display is mounted on the tool in order to provide a more compact system. The power source of the system would provide a means for activating the indicating means and the use of the modified system would result in the performance of the claimed method.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1,7-13,15,26,29,31 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 21, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With regard to the examiner's reasoning for combining Manwaring et al and Wilk et al, it is known in the art to want to make devices and systems more compact for ease of use and while this reasoning may be different from applicant's reasoning to modify the prior art, it is not considered to be "speculative" and "concocted"

Art Unit: 3737

from hindsight". With respect to claims 46, 47, it appears that the indicated direction that the tool must be moved is relative to a reference frame of the tool.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth S Smith whose telephone number is (703) 308-3063. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 AM- 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ruth S Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3737